FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 KAMALA D. HARRIS MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA Attorney General of California SACRAMENTO June 9 20 16 2 MATTHEW M. DAVIS BY L. Firdaus ANALYST Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 TESSA L. HEUNIS Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 241559 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 5 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 6 Telephone: (619) 738-9403 7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 8 Attorneys for Complainant 9 BEFORE THE 10 PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 1E-2013-233060 14 ACCUSATION KENDRA ARMOUR, P.A. 15 13261 Luna Rd Victorville, CA 92392 16 Physician Assistant License No. PA 13441, 17 Respondent. 18 19 20 Complainant alleges: 21 **PARTIES** Glenn L. Mitchell, Jr. (complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official 22 capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physician Assistant Board, Department of Consumer 23 24 Affairs, State of California (Board). On or about January 17, 1995, the Board issued Physician Assistant Number PA 25 2. 13441 to Kendra Armour, P.A. (respondent). The Physician Assistant License was in full force 26 and effect at all times relevant to the charges and allegations brought herein and will expire on 27 December 31, 2016, unless renewed. 28 1

ACCUSATION NO. 1E-2013-233060

## **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 3527 of the Code states:
  - "(a) The board may order the denial of an application, or the issuance subject to terms and conditions of, or the suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon a physician assistant license after a hearing as required in Section 3528 for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, a violation of this chapter, a violation of the Medical Practice Act, or a violation of the regulations adopted by the board or the Medical Board of California.

"

"(f) The board may order the licensee to pay the costs of monitoring the probationary conditions imposed on the license.

44 "

- 5. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
  - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
  - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
  - "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
  - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the

board.

"(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

66 99

## 6. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

٠٠ ,,

- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - "(f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
    ""

#### 7. Section 2261 of the Code states:

"Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

## 8. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

#### 9. Section 2052 of the Code states:

"(a) Notwithstanding Section 146, any person who practices or attempts to practice, or who advertises or holds himself or herself out as practicing, any system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted in this state, or who diagnoses, treats, operates for, or prescribes for any ailment, blemish, deformity, disease, disfigurement, disorder, injury, or other physical or mental condition of any person, without having at the time of so doing a valid,

unrevoked, or unsuspended certificate as provided in this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act], or without being authorized to perform the act pursuant to a certificate obtained in accordance with some other provision of law, is guilty of a public offense, punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code, by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both the fine and either imprisonment.

- "(b) Any person who conspires with or aids or abets another to commit any act described in subdivision (a) is guilty of a public offense, subject to the punishment described in that subdivision.
- "(c) The remedy provided in this section shall not preclude any other remedy provided by law."
- 10. Section 3502 of the Code states:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other law, a physician assistant may perform those medical services as set forth by the regulations adopted under this chapter when the services are rendered under the supervision of a licensed physician and surgeon who is not subject to a disciplinary condition imposed by the Medical Board of California prohibiting that supervision or prohibiting the employment of a physician assistant. The medical record, for each episode of care for a patient, shall identify the physician and surgeon who is responsible for the supervision of the physician assistant.<sup>1</sup>

66 27

"(c)<sup>2</sup>(1) A physician assistant and his or her supervising physician and surgeon shall establish written guidelines for the adequate supervision of the physician assistant. This requirement may be satisfied by the supervising physician and surgeon adopting protocols for some or all of the tasks performed by the physician assistant. The protocols adopted pursuant to this subdivision shall comply with the following requirements:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The final sentence of sec. 3502, subd. (a), was added effective January 1, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sec. 3502, subd. (c), relating to guidelines for supervision of the physician assistant, including protocols, was added effective January 1, 2008.

- "(A) A protocol governing diagnosis and management shall, at a minimum, include the presence or absence of symptoms, signs, and other data necessary to establish a diagnosis or assessment, any appropriate tests or studies to order, drugs to recommend to the patient, and education to be provided to the patient.
- "(B) A protocol governing procedures shall set forth the information to be provided to the patient, the nature of the consent to be obtained from the patient, the preparation and technique of the procedure, and the followup care.
- "(C) Protocols shall be developed by the supervising physician and surgeon or adopted from, or referenced to, texts or other sources.
- "(D) Protocols shall be signed and dated by the supervising physician and surgeon and the physician assistant.
- "(2)(A) The supervising physician and surgeon shall use one or more of the following mechanisms to ensure adequate supervision of the physician assistant functioning under the protocols:
  - "(i) The supervising physician and surgeon shall review, countersign, and date a sample consisting of, at a minimum, 5 percent<sup>3</sup> of the medical records of patients treated by the physician assistant functioning under the protocols within 30 days of the date of treatment by the physician assistant.
  - "(ii)<sup>4</sup> The supervising physician and surgeon and physician assistant shall conduct a medical records review meeting at least once a month during at least 10 months of the year. During any month in which a medical records review meeting occurs, the supervising physician and surgeon and physician assistant shall review an aggregate of at least 10 medical records of patients treated by the physician assistant functioning under protocols. Documentation of medical records reviewed during the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The requirement that the supervising physician and surgeon review, countersign, and date a sample consisting of a minimum of 5 percent of the medical records of patients treated by the physician assistant was added effective January 1, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This subsection was added effective January 1, 2016.

month shall be jointly signed and dated by the supervising physician and surgeon and the physician assistant.

"(iii)<sup>5</sup> The supervising physician and surgeon shall review a sample of at least 10 medical records per month, at least 10 months during the year, using a combination of the countersignature mechanism described in clause (i) and the medical records review meeting mechanism described in clause (ii). During each month for which a sample is reviewed, at least one of the medical records in the sample shall be reviewed using the mechanism described in clause (i) and at least one of the medical records in the sample shall be reviewed using the mechanism described in clause (ii).

- "(B) In complying with subparagraph (A), the supervising physician and surgeon shall select for review those cases that by diagnosis, problem, treatment, or procedure represent, in his or her judgment, the most significant risk to the patient.
- "(3) Notwithstanding any other law, the Medical Board of California or the board may establish other alternative mechanisms for the adequate supervision of the physician assistant.

...,

## 11. Section 3502.1 of the Code states:

"(a) In addition to the services authorized in the regulations adopted by the Medical Board of California, and except as prohibited by Section 3502, while under the supervision of a licensed physician and surgeon or physicians and surgeons authorized by law to supervise a physician assistant, a physician assistant may administer or provide medication to a patient, or transmit orally, or in writing on a patient's record or in a drug order, an order to a person who may lawfully furnish the medication ... pursuant to subdivisions (c) and (d).

"(1)...

"(2) Each supervising physician and surgeon who delegates the authority to

This subsection was added effective January 1, 2016.

issue a drug order to a physician assistant shall first prepare and adopt, or adopt, a written, practice specific, formulary and protocols that specify all criteria for the use of a particular drug or device, and any contraindications for the selection. Protocols for Schedule II controlled substances shall address the diagnosis of illness, injury, or condition for which the Schedule II controlled substance is being administered, provided, or issued. The drugs listed in the protocols shall constitute the formulary and shall include only drugs that are appropriate for use in the type of practice engaged in by the supervising physician and surgeon. When issuing a drug order, the physician assistant is acting on behalf of and as an agent for a supervising physician and surgeon.

- "(b) 'Drug order,' for purposes of this section, means an order for medication that is dispensed to or for a patient, issued and signed by a physician assistant acting as an individual practitioner within the meaning of Section 1306.02 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations...
- "(c) A drug order for any patient cared for by the physician assistant that is issued by the physician assistant shall either be based on the protocols described in subdivision (a) or shall be approved by the supervising physician and surgeon before it is filled or carried out.
- "(1) A physician assistant shall not administer or provide a drug or issue a drug order for a drug other than for a drug listed in the formulary without advance approval from a supervising physician and surgeon for the particular patient. At the direction and under the supervision of a physician and surgeon, a physician assistant may hand to a patient of the supervising physician and surgeon a properly labeled prescription drug prepackaged by a physician and surgeon, manufacturer as defined in the Pharmacy Law, or a pharmacist.
- "(2)<sup>6</sup> A physician assistant shall<sup>7</sup> not administer, provide, or issue a drug order to a patient for Schedule II through Schedule V controlled substances without advance

(continued...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A prior version of this subsection, effective January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2012, stated only: "A physician assistant may not administer, provide or issue a drug order for Schedule II through Schedule V controlled substances without advance approval by a supervising physician and surgeon for the particular patient."

approval by a supervising physician and surgeon for that particular patient unless the physician assistant has completed an education course that covers controlled substances and that meets standards, including pharmacological content, approved by the board. The education course shall be provided either by an accredited continuing education provider or by an approved physician assistant training program. If the physician assistant will administer, provide, or issue a drug order for Schedule II controlled substances, the course shall contain a minimum of three hours exclusively on Schedule II controlled substances. Completion of the requirements set forth in this paragraph shall be verified and documented in the manner established by the board prior to the physician assistant's use of a registration number issued by the United States Drug Enforcement Administration to the physician assistant to administer, provide, or issue a drug order to a patient for a controlled substance without advance approval by a supervising physician and surgeon for that particular patient.

- "(3) Any drug order issued by a physician assistant shall be subject to a reasonable quantitative limitation consistent with customary medical practice in the supervising physician and surgeon's practice.
- "(d) A written drug order issued pursuant to subdivision (a), except a written drug order in a patient's medical record in a health facility or medical practice, shall contain the printed name, address, and telephone number of the supervising physician and surgeon, the printed or stamped name and license number of the physician assistant, and the signature of the physician assistant. Further, a written drug order for a controlled substance, except a written drug order in a patient's medical record in a health facility or a medical practice, shall include the federal controlled substances registration number of the physician assistant and shall otherwise comply with Section 11162.1 of the Health and Safety Code. Except as otherwise required for written drug orders for controlled substances under Section 11162.1

<sup>(...</sup>continued)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Prior to January 1, 2016, all previous versions of this subsection used the word "may" instead of "shall."

of the Health and Safety Code, the requirements of this subdivision may be met through stamping or otherwise imprinting on the supervising physician and surgeon's prescription blank to show the name, license number, and if applicable, the federal controlled substances registration number of the physician assistant, and shall be signed by the physician assistant. When using a drug order, the physician assistant is acting on behalf of and as the agent of a supervising physician and surgeon.

- "(e) The supervising physician and surgeon shall use either of the following mechanisms to ensure adequate supervision of the administration, provision, or issuance by a physician assistant of a drug order to a patient for Schedule II controlled substances:
  - "(1) The medical record of any patient cared for by a physician assistant for whom the physician assistant's Schedule II drug order has been issued or carried out shall be reviewed, countersigned, and dated by a supervising physician and surgeon within seven days.
  - "(2)<sup>8</sup> If the physician assistant has documentation evidencing the successful completion of an education course that covers controlled substances, and that controlled substance education course (A) meets the standards ... established in Sections 1399.610 and 1399.612 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, and (B) is provided either by an accredited continuing education provider or by an approved physician assistant training program, the supervising physician and surgeon shall review, countersign, and date, within seven days, a sample consisting of the medical records of at least 20 percent of the patients cared for by the physician assistant for whom the physician assistant's Schedule II drug order has been issued or carried out. Completion of the requirements set forth in this paragraph shall be verified and documented in the manner established in Section 1399.612 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations. Physician assistants who have a certificate of completion of the course described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) shall be deemed to have met the education course requirement of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This subsection was added effective January 1, 2016.

"(b) The writing which delegates the medical services shall be known as a delegation of services agreement. A delegation of services agreement shall be signed and dated by the physician assistant and each supervising physician. A delegation of services agreement may be signed by more than one supervising physician only if the same medical services have been delegated by each supervising physician. A physician assistant may provide medical services pursuant to more than one delegation of services agreement.

"

- "(d) A physician assistant shall consult with a physician regarding any task, procedure or diagnostic problem which the physician assistant determines exceeds his or her level of competence or shall refer such cases to a physician."
- 16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.545 states:
- "(a) A supervising physician shall be available in person or by electronic communication at all times when the physician assistant is caring for patients.
- "(b) A supervising physician shall delegate to a physician assistant only those tasks and procedures consistent with the supervising physician's specialty or usual and customary practice and with the patient's health and condition.
- "(c) A supervising physician shall observe or review evidence of the physician assistant's performance of all tasks and procedures to be delegated to the physician assistant until assured of competency.
- "(d) The physician assistant and the supervising physician shall establish in writing transport and back-up procedures for the immediate care of patients who are in need of emergency care beyond the physician assistant's scope of practice for such times when a supervising physician is not on the premises.
- "(e) A physician assistant and his or her supervising physician shall establish in writing guidelines for the adequate supervision of the physician assistant which shall include one or more of the following mechanisms:
  - "(1) Examination of the patient by a supervising physician the same day as care is given by the physician assistant;

- "(2) Countersignature and dating of all medical records written by the physician assistant within thirty (30) days that the care was given by the physician assistant;
- "(3) The supervising physician may adopt protocols to govern the performance of a physician assistant for some or all tasks. The minimum content for a protocol governing diagnosis and management as referred to in this section shall include the presence or absence of symptoms, signs, and other data necessary to establish a diagnosis or assessment, any appropriate tests or studies to order, drugs to recommend to the patient, and education to be given the patient. For protocols governing procedures, the protocol shall state the information to be given the patient, the nature of the consent to be obtained from the patient, the preparation and technique of the procedure, and the follow-up care. Protocols shall be developed by the physician, adopted from, or referenced to, texts or other sources. Protocols shall be signed and dated by the supervising physician and the physician assistant. The supervising physician shall review, countersign, and date a minimum of 5% sample of medical records of patients treated by the physician assistant functioning under these protocols within thirty (30) days. The physician shall select for review those cases which by diagnosis, problem, treatment or procedure represent, in his or her judgment, the most significant risk to the patient;
  - "(4) Other mechanisms approved in advance by the board.
- "(f) The supervising physician has continuing responsibility to follow the progress of the patient and to make sure that the physician assistant does not function autonomously. The supervising physician shall be responsible for all medical services provided by a physician assistant under his or her supervision."

#### 17. Section 2415 of the Code states:

"(a) Any physician and surgeon ..., who as a sole proprietor, or in a partnership, group, or professional corporation, desires to practice under any name that would otherwise be a violation of Section 2285 may practice under that name if the proprietor, partnership, group, or corporation obtains and maintains in current status a fictitious-name permit issued by the Division of Licensing [of the Medical Board of California] ... under the provisions

of this section.

- "(b) The division or the [Medical] board shall issue a fictitious-name permit authorizing the holder thereof to use the name specified in the permit in connection with his, her, or its practice if the division or the [Medical] board finds to its satisfaction that:
  - "(1) The applicant or applicants or shareholders of the professional corporation hold valid and current licenses as physicians and surgeons ...
  - "(2) The professional practice of the applicant or applicants is wholly owned and entirely controlled by the applicant or applicants.
  - "(3) The name under which the applicant or applicants propose to practice is not deceptive, misleading, or confusing.
- "(c) Each permit shall be accompanied by a notice that shall be displayed in a location readily visible to patients and staff. The notice shall be displayed at each place of business identified in the permit.

"(e) Fictitious-name permits issued under this section shall be subject to Article 19 (commencing with Section 2420) pertaining to renewal of licenses, except the division shall establish procedures for the renewal of fictitious-name permits every two years on an anniversary basis...

... ,

# 18. Section 2285 of the Code states:

"The use of any fictitious, false, or assumed name, or any name other than his or her own by a licensee either alone, in conjunction with a partnership or group, or as the name of a professional corporation, in any public communication, advertisement, sign, or announcement of his or her practice without a fictitious-name permit obtained pursuant to Section 2415 constitutes unprofessional conduct. This section shall not apply to the following:

"(a) Licensees who are employed by a partnership, a group, or a professional corporation that holds a fictitious name permit.

- "(b) Licensees who contract with, are employed by, or are on the staff of, any clinic licensed by the State Department of Health Services under Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1200) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code.
- "(c) An outpatient surgery setting granted a certificate of accreditation from an accreditation agency approved by the medical board.
- "(d) Any medical school approved by the division or a faculty practice plan connected with the medical school."

#### 19. Section 2286 of the Code states:

"It shall constitute unprofessional conduct for any licensee to violate, to attempt to violate, directly or indirectly, to assist in or abet the violation of, or to conspire to violate any provision or term of Article 18 (commencing with Section 2400), of the Moscone-Knox Professional Corporation Act (Part 4 commencing with Section 13400) of Division 3 of Title 1 of the Corporations Code), or of any rules and regulations duly adopted under those laws."

## 20. Section 2406 of the Code states:

"A medical ... corporation is a corporation which is authorized to render professional services, as defined in Section 13401 of the Corporations Code, so long as that corporation and its shareholders, officers, directors and employees rendering professional services who are physicians and surgeons, ..., or, in the case of a medical corporation only, physician assistants, ... are in compliance with the Moscone-Knox Professional Corporation Act [Corporations Code section 13400 et seq.], the provisions of this article and all other statutes and regulations now or hereafter enacted or adopted pertaining to the corporation and the conduct of its affairs.

"With respect to a medical corporation or podiatry corporation, the governmental agency referred to in the Moscone-Knox Professional Corporation Act is the board."

# 21. Section 13401.5 of the Corporations Code states:

"Notwithstanding subdivision (d) of Section 13401 and any other provision of law, the following licensed persons may be shareholders, officers, directors, or professional

employees of the professional corporations designated in this section so long as the sum of all shares owned by those licensed persons does not exceed 49 percent of the total number of shares of the professional corporation so designated herein, and so long as the number of those licensed persons owning shares in the professional corporation so designated herein does not exceed the number of persons licensed by the governmental agency regulating the designated professional corporation. ...

"(a) Medical corporation.

"(7) Licensed physician assistants.

٠, ,

22. Unprofessional conduct under California Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine.<sup>9</sup>

## **COST RECOVERY**

23. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

## **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

#### (Unlicensed Practice of Medicine)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3527 and 2234 of the Code and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521, as defined by sections 2052, 2234, 2234, subdivisions (a) and (f), 3502, 3502.1, 2286, and 2406 of the Code, and California Code of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.

Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.540, 1399.545 and 1399.521, subdivisions (a) and (d), in that she engaged in the unlicensed practice of medicine, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:<sup>10</sup>

- 25. On or about August 23, 2006, respondent's attorney executed Articles of Incorporation of the "Kendra Care Medical Group, A Medical Corporation," which was incorporated by the Secretary of State of California on or about August 24, 2006. The agent for service of process was listed as respondent.
- 26. On or about August 28, 2006, respondent purportedly issued to herself a shareholder certificate documenting that forty-nine (49) shares<sup>11</sup> of "Kare Medical Group, Inc." had been transferred to respondent.
- 27. On or about October 2, 2006, respondent entered into a commercial lease agreement as "tenant," in the name of "Kendra D. Armour, DBA: Kendra Care Medical Group" for a practice location at 15080 Seventh Street, #6, Victorville, CA 92392.
- 28. On or about sometime in 2006, respondent contacted L.T., M.D. and asked him to work for her and be her supervising physician. L.T., M.D., was not asked to be respondent's partner, and did not own any portion of the practice. L.T., M.D., knew respondent as they had both worked at Dr. Mike's Walk-In Clinic.
- 29. On or about September 4, 2006, an application for a fictitious name permit (FNP) was submitted to the Medical Board of California (MBC) for Kendra Care Medical Group, A Medical Corporation. The application indicated that respondent owned 49% of the shares of the corporation and L.T., M.D., owned 51% of the shares, although L.T., M.D. had no ownership in the practice. The application was found deficient and returned by the MBC analyst as the proposed fictitious name, "Kendra Care Medical Group," was considered potentially misleading

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Conduct occurring more than seven (7) years from the filing date of this Accusation is for informational purposes only and is not alleged as a basis for disciplinary action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> This represented 49 percent of a total of 100 shares that were purportedly issued by "Kare Medical Group, Inc."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> There is no corporation named "Kare Medical Group, Inc." registered with the Secretary of State. "Kare Medical Group, Inc." is the fictitious name permit (FNP) that was issued by the Medical Board in November 2006 after they denied a FNP to "Kendra Care Medical Group." See paragraphs 29 and 30, below.

to the public "since a physician must own the majority of the shares, and it looks as though Ms. Armour is the main owner of the practice in the name style."

- 30. On or about November 21, 2006, the MBC approved a FNP for "Kare Medical Group, Inc." The owners of the medical group were listed as respondent and L.T., M.D., respectively. The FNP had an expiration date of November 30, 2008, unless renewed.
- 31. Respondent personally borrowed an amount of approximately \$100,000, which was used to fund the practice. L.T., M.D., made no cash investment in the business.
- 32. On or about sometime in 2006, L.T., M.D., began working at Kare Medical Group, Inc. L.T., M.D., was paid approximately \$50.00 per hour and received health insurance benefits. L.T., M.D., worked three days per week and was periodically on call.
- 33. No protocols were established and no drug formularies were created by L.T., M.D., as the supervising physician of respondent.
- 34. On or about January 29, 2009, respondent filed with the Secretary of State a Statement of Information regarding "Kendra Care Medical Group," a medical corporation. Respondent was named as the Secretary and Chief Financial Officer of the corporation and L.T., M.D., was listed as the Chief Executive Officer. Respondent was also named the agent for service of process.
  - 35. L.T., M.D., left the practice sometime in 2010.
- 36. L.T., M.D., did not receive any compensation for his purported shares of the business when he left Kare Medical Group, Inc. During the time that L.T., M.D. was affiliated with Kare Medical Group, Inc., he was not involved in the day-to-day running of the practice. Respondent hired and fired all staff, respondent leased the practice location, and respondent was the only person with authority over the business bank account. L.T., M.D. did not know what bank the practice used. Neither was L.T., M.D., aware that shares in the corporation had purportedly been issued in his name.
- 37. On or about August 10, 2010, E.J., M.D. became the supervising physician for respondent. At no time did E.J., M.D. have an ownership interest in Kare Medical Group, Inc. or Kendra Kare Medical Group, or any authority over the business bank account. E.J., M.D.,

received a fixed salary from Kare Medical Group, Inc., in the amount of \$1,000 per month.

- 38. On or about December 10, 2010, E.J., M.D. purportedly received fifty-one shares for Kare Medical Group, Inc.
- 39. On or about January 23, 2013, at the request of then Medical Board Investigator J.D., respondent provided the MBC with a copy of an agreement purportedly entered into between her and E.J., M.D., on or about December 10, 2010 (the agreement). As part of the terms of this agreement, E.J., M.D., agreed to serve as general physician for Kare Medical Group, Inc. The agreement was purportedly signed on December 10, 2010, and the agreement itself was stated to be "effective as of 12-10-10"; however, elsewhere in the agreement, it was stated to "commence 12-10-13."
- 40. An unsigned document purporting to outline E.J., M.D.'s responsibility as supervising physician for respondent, and providing the "Back Up Procedures" for when E.J., M.D., the supervising physician was not available when needed, provided no names of alternate physicians.
- 41. On or about July 5, 2011, Kendra Kare Medical Group, A Medical Corporation, filed documents with the Secretary of State. The documents indicated that E.J., M.D., was the Chief Executive Officer and respondent was the Secretary and Chief Financial Officer of the medical corporation.
- 42. On or about May 15, 2013, E.J., M.D., formally ceased to serve as respondent's supervising physician and Medical Director of Kare Medical Group, Inc. According to respondent, R.N., M.D., then took over E.J., M.D.'s majority share in the corporation and also became respondent's supervising physician.
- 43. On or about June 3, 2014, R.N., M.D., informed Health Quality Investigation Unit (HQIU) Senior Investigator S.T. that he had earlier spoken with respondent about becoming her supervising physician. Respondent had informed R.N., M.D., that she would pay him for his work. However, R.N., M.D., became ill and did not accept the position with respondent. He denied ever having seen any of respondent's patients or ever reviewing any of her charts. R.N., M.D., further stated that he never had an ownership interest in Kare Medical Group, Inc.

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

26

27

25

- On or about sometime prior to June 1, 2013, respondent approached O.P., M.D., a licensed physician who specialized in obstetrics and gynecology, to become her supervising physician. O.P., M.D., had his own private practice in the area.
- 45. From on or about June 1, 2013, respondent hired O.P., M.D., to be her supervising physician. O.P., M.D., did not have an ownership interest in Kendra Care Medical or Kare Medical Group, Inc. Respondent paid O.P., M.D., a flat rate twice a month for acting as her supervising physician. O.P., M.D., went to the clinic once per week to review charts. Respondent would refer patients who had abnormal pap smears or abnormal bleeding to O.P., M.D. Those patients would be seen by O.P., M.D., in his private practice office, and not at Kare Medical Group, Inc.
- On or about July 31, 2013, the MBC documented that the FNP had expired effective November 30, 2008, and was delinquent.
- 47. On or about August 9, 2013, respondent filed documents with the Secretary of State in her purported capacity as the secretary of Kendra Kare Medical Group, A Medical Corporation. The documents indicate that R.N., M.D., was the Chief Executive Officer and respondent was the Secretary and Chief Financial Officer. Respondent's attorney is named as the agent for service of process.
- 48. On or about November 5, 2013, respondent informed Senior Investigator S.T. that she was supervised by both O.P., M.D., who had a "stake" in the practice, and R.N., M.D., who, she claimed, was a part owner of the clinic but was not going to stay involved in the clinic on a longterm basis. In or around June 2014, O.P., M.D., informed Senior Investigator S.T. that he was unaware of anyone else supervising respondent during the period that he acted as her supervising physician. When interviewed by Senior Investigator S.T., R.N., M.D., denied ever having acted as respondent's supervising physician or being a part owner of the clinic.
- On or about April 30, 2014, O.P., M.D., stopped supervising respondent after receiving a publication from the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, which recommended that obstetricians and gynecologists should not supervise in any practice where male patients were seen.

11

16

15

18 19

17

20 21

22

24

25

23

26

- 50. Sometime approximately during the second half of 2014, V.J.B., M.D., reportedly became respondent's supervising physician and still supervises respondent. He has no ownership in the practice or the corporation.
- On or about November 30, 2014, respondent filed documents with the Secretary of State. The documents indicate that V.J.B., M.D., is the Chief Executive Officer of Kendra Care Medical Group, A Medical Corporation, and respondent is the corporation's Secretary and Chief Financial Officer. Respondent's attorney is named as the agent for service of process.
- On or about April 22, 2016, respondent provided Senior Investigator S.T. with various purported corporate documents, including the following:
- Purported "Minutes of the First Meeting of the Board of Directors [of] Kare Medical Group, A Medical Corporation," claim that this meeting was held on August 28, 2006, and attended by respondent and L.T., M.D. According to these "Minutes," it was resolved that the corporation would issue and sell 51 shares of its authorized stock to L.T., M.D., for the "cash consideration" of \$51.00, and 49 shares to respondent, for the cash consideration of \$49.00. In fact, L.T., M.D., denies any knowledge of corporate meetings or ownership of any stock or any part of the business.
- A document entitled "Action By Unanimous Written Consent of Shareholders of Kare Medical Group A Medical Corporation," dated January 6, 2014, claims that R.N., M.D., was unanimously elected as director of the corporation for the calendar year 2014. It states, further, that "Whereas, [R.N., M.D., a male person,] has other practices, she wishes any payment to her as shareholder or Medical Director be paid to her personal corporation..." In fact, R.N., M.D., who denied ever having supervised respondent, or having any ownership in Kare Medical Group, suffered a spinal cord contusion on or about August 28, 2013, and did not return to the practice of medicine until the end of May 2014.
- Other documents claim that V.J.B., M.D., was elected as director of the corporation in July 2014, and was elected as President of the corporation for the calendar years 2015 and 2016. In fact, V.J.B., M.D., denies any ownership of the corporation. V.J.B., M.D., receives a salary of \$2,500 per month and has no signing authority on the corporate bank account.

- 53. At an interview conducted by Senior Investigator S.T. on or about April 6, 2016, as part of the Board's investigation into this matter, respondent stated that the "DBA" for the practice was "Kendra Kare Medical Group" and that the corporation's name was "Kare Medical Group." When asked whether the corporation has stocks, respondent said it did not. Her attorney then informed respondent that they do, in fact, have stock certificates and explained that "[Respondent] just --- she doesn't --- she hasn't used it. I mean they've been in business so long she doesn't even realize it. But yeah, there are stock certificates."
- 54. On or about April 28, 2016, respondent provided Senior Investigator S.T. with several shareholder certificates purportedly issued by "Kare Medical Group, Inc." Among others, these stock certificates state the following:
- (a) On or about May 15, 2013, R.N., M.D., purportedly received fifty-one shares from E.J., M.D.;
- (b) On or about May 1, 2013, R.N., M.D., purportedly transferred fifty-one shares to V.J.B., M.D. In fact, V.J.B., M.D., did not become involved in the practice or the corporation in any way before 2014.
- (c) One of the stock certificates documents that fifty-one (51) shares of "Kare Medical Group, Inc." have been transferred to L.T., M.D. L.T., M.D., never received the shareholder certificate and is unaware of his ownership in the corporation.
- 55. Neither O.P., M.D., nor E.J., M.D., nor R.N., M.D., was ever issued an FNP in the name of Kare Medical Group, Inc.
- 56. All or nearly all respondent's supervising physicians were either paid by the business as independent contractors or were hired by respondent as *locum tenens*.
- 57. During the course of the Board's investigation into this matter, respondent provided investigators J.D. and S.T. with numerous documents prepared by respondent, purportedly showing that respondent was supervised at Kare Medical Group, Inc., by various practitioners, including:
  - (a) E.J., M.D., on or about August 10, 2010;
  - (b) K.P., M.D., on or about May 4, 2012;

 $\| \ / / / /$ 

"office contact" was L.T., M.D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

patient C.J., a Med-Cal patient. According to the form, both the "referring provider" and the

- 63. On or about May 14, 2013, a "Referral Approval Form" was sent by Kare Medical Group to Vantage Medical Group, requesting the approval of a registered nurse and physical therapy services for patient C.J., a Med-Cal patient. According to the form, both the "referring provider" and the "requested provider" was E.J., M.D.
- 64. On or about May 14, 2013, a "Referral Approval Form" was sent by Kare Medical Group to Vantage Medical Group, requesting the approval of an office outpatient visit of 30 minutes for patient T.M., A Medi-Cal patient. According to the form, the "referring provider" and the "PCP" was E.J., M.D., and the "office contact" was L.T., M.D.
- 65. On or about May 20, 2013, a "Referral Approval Form" was sent by Kare Medical Group to Vantage Medical Group, requesting the approval of "consult" services for patient T.M., A Medi-Cal patient. According to the form, the "referring provider" was E.J., M.D.
- 66. On or about June 19, 2013, a "Referral Approval Form" was sent by Kare Medical Group to Vantage Medical Group, requesting the approval of two office visits by patient C.J., a Med-Cal patient. According to the form, the "referring provider" was E.J., M.D., and the "office contact" was L.T., M.D.
- 67. On or about September 29, 2014, an "Outpatient Referral Form" was sent to Arrowhead Regional Medical Center by Kare Medical Group, Inc., requesting consult and treatment services for patient D.S., a Medi-Cal patient. In the space allowed for "provider signature," it appears that the stamp of O.P., M.D., has been appended.
- 68. On or about November 10, 2014, a "Referral Approval Form" was sent by Kare Medical Group to Vantage Medical Group, requesting the approval of "consult and treat" services for patient T.M., A Medi-Cal patient. According to the form, the "referring provider" was "Kendra Care Medical Group" and the provider's signature appears to be the stamp of O.P., M.D.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (False Representations)

69. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 3527, 2227, 2234, 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521. subdivision (a), as defined by sections 2261, of the Code, in that she knowingly made false

representations as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 24 through 68, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

## **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

70. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 3527, 2227 and 2234, of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521, subdivision (a), as defined by section 2266 of the Code, in that she failed to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to patients T.M., C.J., and D.S., as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 59 and 62 through 68, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (General Unprofessional Conduct)

71. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 3527, 2227, 2234, 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521. subdivision (a), as defined by section 2234 of the Code, in that she engaged in conduct which breached the rules or ethical code of the medical profession or which was unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 24 through 70, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physician Assistant Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician Assistant Number PA 13441, issued to respondent Kendra Armour, P.A.;
- 2. Ordering respondent Kendra Armour, P.A., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

,	3.	Ordaring respondent Vandro	Armour DA if placed on probation to pay the Doord	
1				
2	probation monitoring fees; and			
3	4.	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
4	,		[//ac/1/]	
5	DATED:	June 9, 2016	GLENN L. MITCHELL, JR.	
6			Executive Officer Physician Assistant Board	
7			Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
8			Complainant	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
ĺ			25	